

# **Child Support XML Standards**

## **Draft Child Support XML Specification for IV-D Agency Review**

**DRAFT**

OFFICE OF CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

November 7, 2002

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Purpose .....	1
1.2	Assumptions and Dependencies .....	2
1.3	Proposed Flow for CSE Agency Submission and Receipt of Orders.....	4
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Understanding a Document Type Definition.....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1.1	Elements.....	7
2.1.2	Attributes.....	8
2.1.3	Example <!ELEMENT Address>.....	9
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Proposed Child Support DTD.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Element Specification .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Example of a Filing.....</b>	<b>14</b>

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This draft Child Support XML (eXtensible Markup Language) Specification document has been prepared under a Special Improvement Project (SIP) grant. This grant 90F10034 was awarded to the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) by the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE). The work was conducted with a Courts/Child Support Enforcement (CSE) Work Group representing court and CSE agencies appointed by OCSE and the NCSC in August 2001.

This document is being submitted by OCSE to the state child support agencies for review and comment. It will then be provided to the LegalXML Work Group for further refinement. The Court Filing Work Group will produce a draft standard for formal adoption through the Conference of State Court Administrators and the National Association for Court Management.

### 1.1 Purpose

The overall purpose of this specification is to provide a common structure for improvement of the automated exchange of information and documents between participating CSE agencies and courts. The Courts/CSE Work Group was appointed by OCSE and the NCSC to develop requirements and a draft document type definition (DTD) to enable CSE agencies to use XML technology for submitting child support cases to courts.

Ultimately, the CSE agencies plan to expand the use of the Electronic Court Filing Standard (ECFS) by submitting XML documents to courts within the DocumentContent portion of the ECFS standard. Eventually, by using the Query and Response (Q & R) standard, CSE agencies will be able to obtain XML information and documents with details of the court orders from the court. However, the CSE agencies understand that at this time it is necessary to provide XML data within the LegalEnvelope, in addition to submitting non-XML or XML documents to the court for filing.

A detailed comparison of the XML ECFS and its ability to support the data needed for CSE requirements, forms, and procedures was conducted based on review of information provided by a number of states. This document delivered under the grant details specific child support case needs, idiosyncrasies, and maps the required child support enforcement elements to the ECFS standard.

The initial areas identified as potential concerns included paternity determination information, detailed payment information, process service information, specific details of court orders, and the ability to model the complex relationships among the people and/or organizations in child support matters (referred to as “actors” in ECFS terminology). The Courts/CSE Work Group reviewed and discussed these matters and made the following decisions:

Detailed calculation capabilities that reside within child support systems themselves do not appropriately belong within the court XML standards. The ability within ECFS to indicate types of payments, e.g., arrearages, maintenance, interest, and health insurance, would be adequate for CSE agency-to-court and CSE agency-to-CSE agency data transfers.

Flexibility exists within the Actor element to support complex multiple payees, children of combined families, and the variety of complex relationships that may exist in child support cases.

Consideration was given to using elements already specified in the ECFS, such as Cause of Action, Matter, and those items in Appendix 2.3 of the ECFS, *Elements Common to Court Filing*. However, given the complex and peculiar situations that must be addressed for child support and the intent that the same DTD specification will be used for state-to-state CSE agency XML communication, it was decided that unique child support elements are critical for court orders and requests for court orders.

The additional elements needed to handle the content for child support, which are not currently specified within the ECFS and associated standards, are addressed in this draft specification. This specification is based on the ECFS 1.0 Standard. To comply with the February 18, 2002, version of the ECFS (1.1), changes to the child support DTD will be made after review of this document. It is anticipated that the changes will be minor – limited to revisions to ensure consistency of upper and lower case usage.

## 1.2 Assumptions and Dependencies

Child Support is a specific case type with unique needs. The manner proposed for incorporating the special needs of child support into the existing and emerging court XML standards is described below.

- a. The content of this specification will conform to the proposed ECFS standard and the emerging Q & R, Court Data Configuration (CDC), Case Management System (CMS)/Application Program Interface (API), and court policy standards. Further, ECFS assumptions, requirements, and terminology apply.
- b. Additional content for child support is proposed for inclusion within the ECFS Appendix 3.1, *Elements for Specific Case Types*.
- c. The general term child support includes IV-D and non-IV-D spousal and child support and paternity determinations, and may include custody and a variety of issues arising from marriage dissolution. Although it is possible that some local court rules may dictate otherwise for specific actions, generally child support enforcement cases will be filed with documents that are a subset of the civil case element under DocumentInformation in the ECFS. The Criminal element under DocumentInformation may be used for some child support matters according to circumstance and local practice.

- d. Nationally, the child support community has already addressed a number of consistency and commonality issues certainly to a much greater extent than have courts. Therefore, although it is not as awesome an undertaking to seek consistency among CSE agencies for many code issues, it is still beyond the scope of this specification to create fully consistent code table values for all CSE agencies and courts throughout the country. Enumerated values within the proposed child support elements are minimized to those that are considered most common and neutral. Further, there is an understanding that additional values needed at this time will be defined within the CDC and court policy for each court or within the standards efforts of the CourtFiling Work Group.

The complexity and convoluted nature of relationships among child support actors and orders, and the sometimes creative conditions imposed within orders, are concerns in child support processing. Following the convention of not overloading the standard with specific coded values, any needed coded values will be presented for consideration as pre-defined values within the LegalXML Work Group and the emerging CDC, court policy and Q & R standards, as appropriate.

- e. In this specification, child support has used the ChildSupport element for submission of filings to the court. This element is likely also to be used in the Q & R standard to be used for receipt of specific child support information from the court. Other options were considered, but given the emerging status of many of the relevant standards, a specific child support definition within DocumentInformation is proposed.
- f. CSE agencies understand that it may not be immediately possible for a court's Case Management System (CMS) to manipulate data received in an XML document. Even after the Q & R standard has been implemented, it may not be possible for a court to return all data desired by the CSE agencies for the court order in XML format to populate the CSE agencies' databases. This is particularly true if the detailed data is not present in the court's CMS and if it is not furnished by the CSE agency in the query.

In the recommendation below, only those elements generally part of the court's order are included. However, CSE agencies understand that although elements may be generally part of court orders, they still may not be data elements in the courts' current CMS. Individual negotiations will be necessary between courts and CSE agencies to migrate toward XML data exchanges for the data that is not now generally available in courts' CMSs. With the encouragement of CSE agencies, courts can begin to include, either within their design of newly automated XML forms or within a re-design of the CMS, the elements that will facilitate fully automated XML data exchange with CSE agencies.

- g. The Electronic Filing Manager (EFM), CMS/API, CDC and court policy requirements will address the mapping that must occur within courts. Until those specifications are fully developed, it is anticipated that test sites for child support and court interchanges will independently map data.

This is expected to occur in a cooperative manner within the child support community to ensure the ability for courts to consistently use the information. Further, it is intended that these data mapping needs will be made part of the ongoing CDC, court policy, EFM, CMS/API efforts, as well as the efforts of the Courts/CSE Work Group in order to develop consistency similar to that already underway for criminal case elements.

### 1.3 Proposed Flow for CSE Agency Submission and Receipt of Orders

To effectively implement the standards, agreement is necessary to distinguish what is properly handled through a court filing (ECFS), what is handled as a query (Q & R) to a court (Q & R), and what constitutes a court order response to a CSE agency. The following distinctions are proposed:

A court filing submission, in compliance with the ECFS, would be initiated when an official action, e.g., judicial officer approval or an official change to an existing order within a court record, is needed from a court. This would include:

- initial establishment of a court order;
- modification of an existing order; or
- change to substantive actors, such as children associated with a payment order.

The ECFS is not intended to be used for submitting non-official information, e.g., changes in child support workers, although it may be of interest to a specific court. Non-official submissions of this sort are outside the realm of this draft.

Any request initiated by a CSE agency for case information from the court, where the request from the CSE agency is *not* a pleading filed for the court to take an official action, would be initiated as a query to the court, using the Q & R standard. This would include requests for information on scheduled hearing dates and requests for existing docket information.

This specification assumes there will be normative (pre-defined) queries and responses that comply with the Q & R standard to obtain the following:

- case information;
- case history (Note: This would not include retrieving documents from the document management system (DMS), but it may include hyperlinks to those documents.);
- case calendar;
- a specific document, with or without its attachments;
- actor status;
- associated case list for a particular actor; and
- content of the court policy database.

Therefore, requests from a CSE agency for information about the next scheduled hearing date, the results of a particular hearing, or a copy of a specific order would be handled through these standard request mechanisms yet to be defined by the LegalXML Work Group.

A court order issued in response to a child support filing that occurs through ECFS can be relayed as a type of Court Response using the Q & R standard. This includes initial orders and modifications to orders. The newly defined ChildSupport element within this specification is intended to support this specific reporting.

No process currently incorporated within the Legal XML standards exists for sending information on an executed court order to the CSE agency without a specific request through the Q & R standard from the CSE agency for case history or a specific document from the court. Until the maturation of the Q & R, CDC, Court Policy and EFM/CMS API, such automated notification of a new order could be expected by a CSE agency only if specific arrangements are made with a court for including this functionality.

Receipt of a court order from the court to the CSE agency is dependent on a request from the CSE agency in a format consistent with the Q & R standard. The CSE agency will supply the court with all the automated information they need to generate a response, either through an ECFS filing or a Q & R query, e.g., names of all the children.

Migration strategies will need to be addressed by individual CSE agency and court participants during test implementations for cases not electronically filed and for cases partially electronically filed in accordance with the court and CSE agency policies.

Currently, a single physical court order may contain multiple actions, e.g., divorce, custody, and child support ordered by the court. These actions are enforceable as a result of a court action that occurs at one time. This draft specification proposes handling this through the DocumentInformation portion of the ReturnedLeadDocument, and the specific Order elements incorporated into the civil case element for child support. The Order elements are uniquely defined for child support.

Therefore, multiple occurrences of DocumentInformation within the ReturnedLeadDocument will contain the multiple orders, which share the same date of occurrence.

Wording that may be considered by CSE agencies as free-form text conditions as part of another order, but for which non-compliance is separately enforceable by further court action, will be individual orders within the Civil Child Support DocumentInformation.

For instance, jail time, income-withholding, arrearage payment, maintenance change, and custody change within one hearing would result in at least five orders.

Conditions that are not enforceable by further court action will be put into the Comment attached to an order.

A request for enforcement action on an existing order would be considered a new court filing. The results of a court hearing on the enforcement would be a new ReturnedLeadDocument.

## 2.0 UNDERSTANDING A DOCUMENT TYPE DEFINITION

In order to understand the proposed Child Support Document Type Definition (DTD), it is helpful to review some aspects of the structure and syntax of a DTD. This is not intended to be a detailed explanation for a technical audience; rather it is an overview intended to assist a non-technical audience in understanding the proposed child support standard.

The DTD is essentially an outline of the structure of an XML document. It can be thought of as a template upon which XML documents, in this case court filings, are based. The DTD is a top-down breakdown of the logical data units, referred to as “elements,” and begins with the “root” element, in this case “CourtFiling.”

### 2.1.1 ELEMENTS

Many elements are further defined by other sub-elements, which are referred to as “child” elements. For example, the element Address may be further divided into the child elements AddressLine, AddressCity, AddressState, etc. Each data element has a content model which contains the “tag” by which the data is identified and specifies what content is allowed for the element. An example appears below.

```
<!ELEMENT Address (AddressLine+, AddressCity, AddressCounty?, AddressState, AddressPostalCode, AddressCountry?)>
```

The following examples, from the CourtFiling DTD, are used to explain how to read a content model.

The content model for a particular data element may define content as one of:

Child elements only. Child elements may be either text or other elements, but not both. Child elements must appear in the order specified in the content model.

**Example:** `<!ELEMENT Address (AddressLine+, AddressCity, AddressCounty?, AddressState, AddressPostalCode, AddressCountry?)>` or  
`<!ELEMENT AddressLine (#PCDATA)>`

Note: #PCDATA represents free-form text, i.e., text as it has been entered.

No children, i.e., empty. In this case, the element content is contained in one or more attributes. (See Section 2.1.2. for more information on attributes.)

**Example:** `<!ELEMENT RoleWith EMPTY>`  
`<!ATTLIST RoleWith ActorID IDREF #IMPLIED>`

Child elements may have special characters appended to the end which further specify the content. These characters and their meanings are listed in the following table.

DATA ELEMENT SUFFIX DESCRIPTIONS	
Suffix	Description
+	There shall be at least one child element of this kind and there may be more than one, e.g., AddressLine+ means that the address may contain more than one line of data
*	There may be zero, one, or more child elements of this kind, e.g., Obligation* means that a specific obligation or more than one obligation may be specified.
?	There may be either zero or one child element of this kind, AddressCounty? means that this part of the address may contain no value if the county is not part of the address, or the name of the county if it is contained in the address.
No Suffix	There shall be exactly one child element of this kind, e.g., means that AddressCity and Address State may contain only one data element.

## 2.1.2 ATTRIBUTES

A data element may also have attributes (designated by ATTLIST) which serves to differentiate this data from other similar data, e.g., different “types” of numbers, or qualify the data, e.g., a new case or an existing case. Attribute content models may be:

Text;

**Example:** <!ATTLIST OrderName Type CDATA #REQUIRED> [e.g., “Child Support”, “Paternity Determination”, etc.]

A list of values only one of which may be selected, i.e., an enumerated list;

**Example:** <!ATTLIST OrderType Value (Establish | Modify | Enforce | ModifyAndEnforce | Vacate )>

A default value.

**Example:** <!ATTLIST LegalEnvelope Version CDATA #FIXED "1.0">

A token, which points to a value contained in another element. In this case, the IDREF token has been used.

**Example:** <!ELEMENT RoleWith EMPTY>  
 <!ATTLIST RoleWith ActorID IDREF #IMPLIED>  
 <!ATTLIST RoleWith MatterID IDREF #IMPLIED>

(In the example above, the attribute provides the content for the element. The content for the role exists elsewhere in the DTD and the IDREF is a pointer to that location. Examples of the actor role include custodial parent, NCP, guardian, etc.)

Further, each attribute may be defined as being required, implied, or defaulted. The court filing DTD contains attributes of each kind.

### 2.1.3 EXAMPLE <!ELEMENT ADDRESS>

This element, Address, contains the child elements AddressLine, AddressCity, AddressCounty, AddressState, AddressPostalCode, and AddressCountry. When a child element is present it must appear in the order specified.

```
<!ELEMENT Address (AddressLine+, AddressCity, AddressCounty?, AddressState, AddressPostalCode, AddressCountry?)>
```

AddressLine+, may appear multiple times and must appear at least once.

AddressCity may only appear once and must be present.

AddressCounty?, may appear only once or may be absent, i.e., is optional.

AddressCity may only appear once and must be present.

AddressState may only appear once and must be present.

AddressPostalCode may only appear once and must be present.

AddressCountry?, may appear only once or may be absent, i.e., is optional.

There are three attributes belonging to an address; all are text.

```
<!ATTLIST Address Type CDATA #REQUIRED>
```

```
<!ATTLIST Address Status CDATA #IMPLIED>
```

```
<!ATTLIST Address StatusDate CDATA #IMPLIED>
```

Only the Address Type attribute is required.

Address Status and Address StatusDate are optional. If no data is present, they are treated as if they did not exist, i.e., there is no default value.

### 3.0 PROPOSED CHILD SUPPORT DTD

Civil subject matter elements within DocumentInformation in the ECFS have a content of ANY, as a placeholder. The same structure is used in the Q & R standard. The content below has been developed to respond to the specific requirements for child support cases within the civil subject matter. Elements not defined here are defined with the ECFS or Q & R.

```
<!ELEMENT ChildSupport (Date, Order+)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT Order (OrderAction, NonMonetaryOrder | MonetaryOrder, Comment*)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT NonMonetaryOrder (OrderName, OrderType, OrderSubject*, Comment*)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT MonetaryOrder (OrderName, Payor, Payee+, Comment*)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT OrderSubject (Actor, Obligation*, Comment*)
```

```
<!ELEMENT Obligation (ObligationType, Amount?, (End | Duration)?, StartDate?, Frequency?, Comment*)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT Payee (Actor, OrderType, OrderSubject*, Amount?, StartDate?, Frequency?, (Duration | EndDate )?, PaymentInformation?, Comment*)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT OrderAction EMPTY>
```

```
<!ATTLIST OrderAction Value (Request | CourtResult )>
```

```
<!ELEMENT OrderType EMPTY>
```

```
<!ATTLIST OrderType Value (Establish | Modify | Enforce | ModifyAndEnforce | Vacate )>
```

```
<!ELEMENT OrderName (#PCDATA)>
```

```
<!ATTLIST OrderName Type CDATA #REQUIRED> [e.g., "Child Support", "Paternity Determination", etc.]
```

```
<!ELEMENT Payor (Actor)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT Amount (Number)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT Frequency (#PCDATA)>
```

```
<!ELEMENT ObligationType (#PCDATA)>
```

```
<!ATTLIST ObligationType CDATA #REQUIRED> [e.g., "maintenance", "health insurance"]
```

## 4.0 ELEMENT SPECIFICATION

The section below lists the recommended elements and describes the specification for the element.

### <!ELEMENT ChildSupport (Date, Order+)>

The **ChildSupport** element is used for orders that are requested or ordered by the court on a single date. Multiple orders may be associated with a single date and at least one order is required.

### <!ELEMENT Order ( OrderAction, NonMonetaryOrder | MonetaryOrder , Comment\*)>

The **Order** element provides the details of each specific order. An OrderAction indicates whether it is a request from a CSE agency for an order of the court or a Court Action, an executed order from the court. Orders may be either monetary, which requires payment from one party to another party, or a non-monetary, which does not require payments. Textual comments are allowed for any background on the order not provided within the data itself.

### <!ELEMENT NonMonetaryOrder (OrderName, OrderType, OrderSubject\*, Comment\*)>

The **NonMonetaryOrder** element provides the details of the order, e.g., custody in which payments are not required. The OrderType reflects if the order is an initial establishment, a modification, or an enforcement, as provided within the enumerated values under OrderType. Each order may have zero, one or more subjects affected by the conditions of the order. For example a custody order may apply to one or multiple children. A dissolution of marriage would not contain an OrderSubject since the parties to the case are the subjects of the order. A comment section is allowed for additional textual details about the specifics of the order.

### <!ELEMENT MonetaryOrder (OrderName, Payor, Payee+, Comment\*)>

The **MonetaryOrder** element provides the details of the order requiring payments to and for specific subjects. The OrderName specifies the detail of the order, e.g., maintenance, alimony, medical payments, and health insurance. A single payor is required for each monetary order, but there may be multiple payees. Payees, essentially trustees for the payments, may include the CSE agency, custodial parents, or direct payments from the payor to schools, doctors, or other. Although the payee and payor are part of the order, this does not pose a requirement that the support payments be monitored by the court and does not provide for the details of payment histories kept by the CSE agencies. Comments are allowed for textual indicators of specific details of the order request or actual court order.

**<!ELEMENT OrderSubject (Actor, Obligation\*, Comment\*)**

The **OrderSubject** associates a person for whose benefit a particular monetary or non-monetary order is issued. The Actor element is as defined in the ECFS. A specific obligation or more than one obligation toward a subject may be specified. Comments are allowed for textual descriptions.

**<!ELEMENT Obligation (ObligationType, Amount?, (End | Duration)?, StartDate?, Frequency ?, Comment\*)>**

The **Obligation** element provides the details of the obligation. The Obligation may be a monetary or non-monetary. It may contain details such a requirement to attend counseling with a child, submit to a blood test, make one time payments, make arrearage payments, or make regular monthly payments. Amount is the dollar amount of a specific obligation if one exists. Either an end date or duration may be part of the order. For many orders associated with child support, durations exist rather than specific end dates, e.g., to indicate that payments must continue until the child has graduated from high school. StartDate and Frequency after the start date are used to indicate the due dates for the first payment under this obligation and the intended frequency, e.g., weekly, monthly, once for the obligation. Comments are allowed for textual details.

**<!ELEMENT Payee (Actor, OrderType, OrderSubject\*, Amount?, StartDate?, Frequency?, (Duration | EndDate )?, PaymentInformation?, Comment\*)>**

The **Payee** element provides the details of the recipient of the payments. In child support cases, changes of payees and circumstances for change may be part of the order. For example, a mother may be paid during the school year and a grandparent during the summer. Or, the CSE agency may be the payee until arrears are paid and then payments will go directly to the mother. Therefore, StartDate, Frequency, Duration, and EndDate, may be attached to a payee as well as to an overall obligation. PaymentInformation, e.g., check or money order is information that most likely will not be tracked by courts, but is a major requirement for child support tracking and to allow for the widest use of the DTD.

**<!ELEMENT OrderAction EMPTY>****<!ATTLIST OrderAction Value (Request | CourtResult )>**

The **OrderAction** element may have a value only of a Request for a court action or a CourtResult, the actual action from the court. While it is possible that other constructs within the ECFS might suffice for this, it is specified because of its importance in distinguishing between a requested order and an actual order.

<!ELEMENT OrderType EMPTY>

<!ATTLIST OrderType Value (Establish | Modify | Enforce | ModifyAndEnforce | Vacate )>

The **OrderType** element provides enumerated values of Establish, Modify, Enforce, ModifyAndEnforce, and Vacate. These values represent the standard distinctions made for child support orders within existing CSE forms and procedures.

<!ELEMENT OrderName (#PCDATA)>

<!ATTLIST OrderName Type CDATA #REQUIRED> [e.g., “Child Support”, “Paternity Determination”, etc.]

<!ELEMENT Payor (Actor)>

<!ELEMENT Amount (Number)>

<!ELEMENT Frequency (#PCDATA)>

<!ELEMENT ObligationType (#PCDATA)>

<!ATTLIST ObligationType Type CDATA #REQUIRED> [e.g.,”maintenance”, “health insurance”]

The **OrderName** and **ObligationType** elements identify the name of the order, e.g., child support or paternity determination. and the type of obligation e.g., alimony, maintenance, health insurance. Allowed values are not defined in the DTD and may be controlled through the CDC or court policy.

## 5.0 EXAMPLE OF A FILING

The example below shows a request filed by a CSE agency for a change in custody, with associated changes in payees, multiple payees, and multiple children with different end dates. Though a modification of a prior order would not likely be a newly initiated case in the same jurisdiction, this example is shown as an initial filing so that all actors are shown. If it were filed as a subsequent filing on an existing case, the child support portion would be the same. However, actors and initial case information would be more simplistic to refer to actors who had already been associated with the case. The LegalEnvelope portion is not shown.

```
<?xml version="1.0" standalone='yes'?>
<!DOCTYPE LegalEnvelope SYSTEM "LegalEnvelope.dtd">
<!-- Creation date and time: 16-Mar-00 15:36Z -->
<LegalEnvelope Version="1.0">
...
<CourtFiling>
<Filing>
  <Actors>
    <Actor ID="Ref01.a1">
      <Name ID="Ref01.a1.n1">
        <Person>
          <FullName>Susan Marly Jefferson</FullName>
          <FirstName>Susan</FirstName>
          <MiddleName>Marly</MiddleName>
          <LastName>Jefferson</LastName>
        </Person>
      </Name>
      <BarMembershipInformation>
        <BarNumber>8200291</BarNumber>
        <LicenseAuthority>New Mexico</LicenseAuthority>
        <YearAdmitted>1982</YearAdmitted>
        <BarStatus>Active</BarStatus>
      </BarMembershipInformation>
      <Role>
        <RoleName>Attorney for the Mother</RoleName>
        <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a2"/>
      </Role>
    </Actor>
    <Actor ID="Ref01.a2">
      <Name ID="Ref01.a2.n1">
        <Person>
          <FullName>Betty Smyth</FullName>
          <FirstName>Betty</FirstName>
          <LastName>Smyth</LastName>
        </Person>
      </Name>
      <Address Type="Office">
        <AddressLine>2107 Second Street</AddressLine>
```

```

    <AddressCity>Santa Fe</AddressCity>
    <AddressState>New Mexico</AddressState>
    <AddressPostalCode>87501</AddressPostalCode>
  </Address>
  <Telephone Type="Office">
    <Number Qualifier="Telephone" Format="(###) ###-####">
      (505) 827-6900
    </Number>
  </Telephone>
  <Email>mother@nowhere.com</Email>
  <Role>
    <RoleName>Obligee</RoleName>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a1"/>
  </Role>
  <Role>
    <RoleName>Mother</RoleName>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a4"/>
  <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a5"/>
  <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a6"/>
</Role>
</Actor>
<Actor ID="Ref01.a3">
  <Name ID="Ref01.a3.n1">
    <Person>
      <FullName>Clark Peters</FullName>
      <FirstName>Clark</FirstName>
      <LastName>Peters</LastName>
    </Person>
  </Name>
  <Address Type="Business">
    <AddressLine>901 Old Santa Fe Trail</AddressLine>
    <AddressCity>Santa Fe</AddressCity>
    <AddressState>New Mexico</AddressState>
    <AddressPostalCode>87501</AddressPostalCode>
  </Address>
  <Telephone Type="Business">
    <Number Qualifier="Telephone" Format="(###) ###-####">
      (505) 476-6905
    </Number>
  </Telephone>
  <Email>father@nowhere.com</Email>
  <Role>
    <RoleName>Non-Custodial Parent</RoleName>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a4"/>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a5"/>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a6"/>
  </Role>
</Actor>
<Actor ID="Ref01.a4">
  <Name ID="Ref01.a4.n1">
    <Person>

```

```

    <FullName>William Peters</FullName>
    <FirstName>William</FirstName>
    <LastName>Peters</LastName>
  </Person>
</Name>
<Address Type= "Home">
  <AddressLine>2107 Tenth Street</AddressLine>
  <AddressCity>Santa Fe</AddressCity>
  <AddressState>New Mexico</AddressState>
  <AddressPostalCode>87501</AddressPostalCode>
</Address>
<Telephone Type="Home">
<Number Qualifier="Telephone" Format="(###) ###-####">
  (505) 476-4200
  </Number>
</Telephone>
<Role>
  <RoleName>Child</RoleName>
  <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a3"/>
  <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a2"/>
</Role>
</Actor>
<Actor ID="Ref01.a4">
  <Name ID="Ref01.a5.n1">
    <Person>
      <FullName>Betsy Peters</FullName>
      <FirstName>Betsy</FirstName>
      <LastName>Peters</LastName>
    </Person>
  </Name>
  <Address Type="Home">
    <AddressLine>2107 Tenth Street</AddressLine>
    <AddressCity>Santa Fe</AddressCity>
    <AddressState>New Mexico</AddressState>
    <AddressPostalCode>87501</AddressPostalCode>
  </Address>
  <Telephone Type="Home">
  <Number Qualifier="Telephone" Format="(###) ###-####">
    (505) 476-4200
    </Number>
  </Telephone>
  <Role>
    <RoleName>Child</RoleName>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a3"/>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a2"/>
  </Role>
</Actor>
<Actor ID="Ref01.a6">
  <Name ID="Ref01.a6.n1">
    <Person>
      <FullName>Robert Peters</FullName>

```

```

    <FirstName>Robert</FirstName>
    <LastName>Peters</LastName>
  </Person>
</Name>
<Address Type="Home">
  <AddressLine>2107 Tenth Street</AddressLine>
  <AddressCity>Santa Fe</AddressCity>
  <AddressState>New Mexico</AddressState>
  <AddressPostalCode>87501</AddressPostalCode>
</Address>
<Telephone Type="Home">
<Number Qualifier="Telephone" Format="(###) ###-####">
  (505) 476-4200
  </Number>
</Telephone>
<Role>
  <RoleName>Child</RoleName>
  <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a3"/>
<RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a2"/>
</Role>
</Actor>
<Actor ID="Ref01.a7">
  <Name ID="Ref01.a7.n1">
    <Person>
      <FullName>Correta Peters</FullName>
      <FirstName>Correta </FirstName>
      <LastName>Peters</LastName>
    </Person>
  </Name>
  <Address Type="Home">
    <AddressLine>42 Seventh Street</AddressLine>
    <AddressCity>Santa Fe</AddressCity>
    <AddressState>New Mexico</AddressState>
    <AddressPostalCode>87501</AddressPostalCode>
  </Address>
  <Telephone Type="Home">
  <Number Qualifier="Telephone" Format="(###) ###-####">
    (505) 476-1111
    </Number>
  </Telephone>
  <Role>
    <RoleName>Grandmother</RoleName>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a4"/>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a5"/>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a6"/>
  </Role>
  <Role>
    <RoleName>Mother</RoleName>
    <RoleWith ActorID="Ref01.a3"/>
  </Role>
</Actor>

```

```

</Actors>
<FilingInformation ID="Ref01.1">
  <CourtInformation>
    <Location ID="Ref01.c1" Qualifier="D1116"/>
  </CourtInformation>
  <CaseInformation ID="Ref01.case1" NewCase="true">
    <CaseCategory>Civil</CaseCategory>
    <CaseYear>2000</CaseYear>
  </CaseInformation>
  <FilersCaseNumber ReferenceCase="Ref01.case1">
    ChildSupportAgencyCaseNumber22114
  </FilersCaseNumber>
  </FilingInformation>
  <LeadDocument ID="Ref01.ld1">
    <DocumentInformation>
      <Actors>
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p1" Reference="Ref01.a1"/> [attorney for mother – Susan Marly
Jefferson]
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p2" Reference="Ref01.a2"/> [mother – Betty Symth]
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p3" Reference="Ref01.a3"/> [father – Clark Peters]
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p4" Reference="Ref01.a4"/> [child1 – William Peters]
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p5" Reference="Ref01.a5"/> [child2 – Betsy Peters]
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p6" Reference="Ref01.a6"/> [child3 – Robert Peters]
        <Actor ID="Ref01.ld1.p7" Reference="Ref01.a7"/> [paternal grandmother – Correta Peters]
      </Actors>
      <Submitted>
        <DateTime>
          <Date>20000203</Date>
          <Time>22:36Z</Time>
        </DateTime>
      </Submitted>
      <DocumentDescription>
        <DocumentTitle>Civil Complaint</DocumentTitle>
        <DocumentType DocumentCode="1251">
          OPN: CIVIL CMPLNT
        </DocumentType>
      </DocumentDescription>
    </DocumentInformation>
  </LeadDocument>
</FilersCaseNumber>
</CaseInformation>
</FilingInformation>
</Actors>
<Submitted>
  <DateTime>
    <Date>20000203</Date>
    <Time>22:36Z</Time>
  </DateTime>
</Submitted>
<DocumentDescription>
  <DocumentTitle>Civil Complaint</DocumentTitle>
  <DocumentType DocumentCode="1251">
    OPN: CIVIL CMPLNT
  </DocumentType>
</DocumentDescription>
<Civil>
<ChildSupport>
  <Date>20020120</Date>
  <Order>
    <OrderAction>Request</OrderAction>
    <NonMonetaryOrder>
      <OrderName Type = "Custody"/>
      <OrderType Value = "Modify"/>
      <OrderSubject>
        <Actor ID=Ref 01.a4>
        <Comment>Custody to Paternal Grandmother from Mother</Comment>
      </OrderSubject>
      <Comment>Child2 and Child3 to stay with Mother</Comment>
    </NonMonetaryOrder>
  </Order>

```

```

<Order>
  <OrderAction>Request</OrderAction>
  <MonetaryOrder>
    <OrderName Type = "Child Support"/>
    <Payor> <Actor ID= 01.a3> </Payor>
    <Payee> <Actor ID= Ref01.a7 >
      <OrderType Value = "Establish"/>
      <OrderSubject>
        <Actor ID = Ref01.a4 >
          <Obligation>
            <ObligationType Type = "Maintenance"/>
            <Amount>200</Amount>
            <Duration>Child's Majority</Duration>
            <Frequency>Monthly</Frequency>
          </Obligation>
        </OrderSubject>
      </Payee>
    <Payee> <Actor ID= Ref01.a2 >
      <OrderType Value = "Modify"/>
      <OrderSubject>
        <Actor ID = Ref01.a5 >
          <Obligation>
            <ObligationType Type ="Medical Payments"/>
            <Amount>950</Amount>
            <Frequency>Once</Frequency>
            <Comment>To be paid within two
              weeks</Comment>
            <Comment>For Orthodontist</Comment>
          </Obligation>
          <Obligation>
            <ObligationType>"Maintenance"</ObligationType>
            <Amount>300</Amount>
            <Frequency>Monthly</Frequency>
          </Obligation>
        </OrderSubject>
      </Payee>
    </MonetaryOrder>
  </Order>
</ChildSupport>
</Civil>
<AttachmentDocumentInformation>
  <Submitted>
    <DateTime>
      <Date>20020120</Date>
      <Time>22:36Z</Time>
    </DateTime>
  </Submitted>
  <DocumentDescription>
    <DocumentTitle>
      Transmittal Form #1
    </DocumentTitle>
  </DocumentDescription>
</AttachmentDocumentInformation>

```

```

</DocumentTitle>
  <DocumentType>COVER SHEET</DocumentType>
  <DocumentType DocumentCode="1251">
    </DocumentDescription>
  </AttachmentDocumentInformation>
  <DocumentContent ID="Ref01.ld1.d1" Size="8191" MimeType="application/pdf"
ContentEncoding="Base64">jk075pfb3205hafnbci ... sfawrq2357c=rqttpbc</DocumentContent>
Attachment to lead document.
  <Attachment ID="Ref01.ld1.a1">
    <AttachmentDocumentInformation>
      <Submitted>
        <DateTime>
          <Date>20000120</Date>
          <Time>22:36Z</Time>
        </DateTime>
      </Submitted>
      <DocumentDescription>
        <DocumentTitle>
          Complaint
        </DocumentTitle>
      </DocumentTitle>
      <DocumentType>PLEADING</DocumentType>
    </DocumentDescription>
  </AttachmentDocumentInformation>
  <DocumentContent ID="Ref01.ld1.a1.d1" Size="8191" MimeType="application/pdf"
ContentEncoding="Base64">jk075pfb3205hafnbci
...asfawrq2357c=rqttpbc
  </DocumentContent>
</Attachment>
</LeadDocument>
</Filing>
</CourtFiling>
</Legal>
</LegalEnvelope>

```