

OCSE Child Access & Visitation Program

Name of State: Michigan

Part I: Contact Information

- Name of State Program Contact Anita Webb
- Name of Designated State Agency Family Independence Agency
Office of Child Support Enf.
- Street Address PO Box 30478
- City, State, & Zipcode Lansing, MI 48909
- Telephone no. (517) 241-7596
- E-mail Address webba@state.mi.us
- Fax no. (517) 373-4980

Part II: Statistical Profile

- No. of minor children under 18 years of age living with one biological parent. 757,680
(1990 U. S. Census data)

Part III: Previous and Current Year Funding Levels

	<u>Federal \$ Grant</u>	<u>State \$ Match</u>	and	<u>Percentage</u>
• FFY 1997	\$387,344	\$43,038		10%
• FFY 1998	\$387,344	\$43,038		10%
• FFY 1999	\$343,436	\$34,436		10%
• FFY 2000	\$343,436	\$38,156		11%

Part IV: Summary of State's Proposed Use of FFY 2000 Grant Funds

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Process for Awarding Funds:		
• Request for Proposals (new & continuation)	X	
• New only		
• Continuation only		
• Other _____		

Time of Year RFP's are Issued and/or Funding Decisions Are Made:
 Fall _____ Winter X Spring _____ Summer _____

Award of Funds: Grants _____ Contracts X Cooperative Agreements _____

Targeted Priority Areas:

• Mediation		
voluntary	Yes _____	No _____
mandatory	Yes _____	No _____
• Counseling	Yes _____	No _____
• Education	Yes <u>X</u>	No _____
• Parenting Plans	Yes <u>X</u>	No _____
• Visitation Enforcement		
monitoring	Yes <u>X</u>	No _____
supervision	Yes <u>X</u>	No _____
neutral drop-off/pick-up	Yes _____	No _____

• **Development of Guidelines**

Visitation	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>
Alternative custody arrangements	Yes <u> </u>	No <u> </u>

Summary Narrative: Proposed Use of FFY 2000 Funds

In partnership with Michigan’s State Court Administrative Office, the Office of Child Support proposes the development of three separate program activities funded through the Child Access and Visitation Grant Program. Below are the details on the three proposed program areas.

- 1. Friend of the Court Role in Custody Choices/Videotape:** With funding from the 1998 grant, the State Court Administrative Office was able to develop comprehensive Parenting Time Guidelines. The Parenting Time Guidelines address issues relating to joint custody, supervised parenting establishment, and modification and enforcement of parenting time agreements. A companion video was developed for staff and parents that depict real cases and parenting time issues associated with them. A similar videotape is proposed for development with FY 2000 grant funds. The new video would focus on the custody choices available to parents and educating parents on the role of the friend of the court offices in evaluating cases to make recommendations concerning custody. This project will enable the State Court Administrative Office to provide further education and training to the friend of the court staff and judges, as well as parents.
- 2. Development of a Regional Supervised Parenting Time Center:** The objective of this program is to provide additional resources to non-custodial parents with a supervised parenting time order. A parent, who has a domestic relations action with a minor child (this includes divorce, paternity, and custody), with a provision for supervised parenting time will be eligible to participate in this program. An additional option under consideration would be the creation of a Family Division Center that would provide supervised parenting services to abuse and neglect cases. The Supervised Parenting Time Center would operate in a location that could potentially serve the population of several counties as a regional center. Several jurisdictions within a region will be selected to administer this program, which may be partnered

with a nonprofit agency. The objective is to select those projects that represent and serve the diverse population of Michigan.

- Funding for New Programs and Renewal Programs: A portion of the grant will be available to renew previously funded programs.**

Part V: Projects Funded by States with FFY 98 OCSE Dollars

Grantee Name, Address & Phone Number	Amount of Award & Services Provided	Number of Parents Served:		
		Total #	# fathers	# mothers
1. Ogenaw County Courthouse, Arenace County Courthouse, & Roscommon County Courthouse.	\$10,000, Supervised visitation	14	7	7
2. Antrim, Grand Traverse, and Leelanau Counties	\$25,872, Monitored and supervised parenting time. Dev. Of parenting plan.	8	4	4
3. Houghton, Baraga, & Keweenaw Counties	\$16,502, Counseling, education, Monitored, supervised & therapy. Visitations. Neutral drop/pick-up.	19	9	10
4. Crawford County Courthouse	\$29,500, Counseling, Monitored & Supervised Visit. Neutral drop & pick-up.	26	13	13
5. Jackson County	\$45,000, Education & Monitored & Supervised visitation	70	35	35

6. Lapeer County	\$15,245 Education	25	10	15
7 .Kalamazoo County	\$22,500 Counsel, Educ., Parent plans, Sup. & Thera. Visit, Neutral drop/pick-up. Other visitation enforcement activities.	63	36	27
8. Ontonagon County	\$17,782 Counseling, Education, Develop Parenting Plan, Develop Guidelines for Visitation/Custody Arrangements, Monitored, supervised & therapeutic visitations, other visitation enforcement activities.	32	16	16
9. Oakland County	\$15,234, Mediation, Counseling, Develop Parenting Plan, Develop Guidelines for Visitation/Custody Arrangements, Monitored, Supervised & Therapeutic Visitations, Neutral drop-off & pickup0 & other visitation enforcement activities.	199	99	100

Participant Data: Part A
(by income and marital status)

Grantee Name & Program Title	Average Annual Income	Parent Participation Rates:		
		divorced	separated	unwed
1. Ogemaw County Courthouse, Arenace County Courthouse & Roscommon County Courthouse	Less \$10,000 89 % \$10-19,000 11%	100 %		
2. Antrim, Grand Traverse, & Leelanau Counties	NA	44 %	13 %	43 %
3. Houghton, Baraga, & Keweenaw Counties	NA	43 %	53 %	4 %
4. Crawford County Courthouse	NA	40 %	12 %	32 %
5. Jackson County	Less \$ 10,000 5 % \$10-19,000 10 % \$20-29,000 45 %	90 %	1 %	9 %

	\$30-39,000 30 % \$40 K & above 10 %			
6. Kalamazoo County	NA	75 %		25 %
7. Lapeer County	Less \$ 10,000 11% \$10-19,000 20 % \$20-29,000 26 % \$30-39,000 11 % \$40 K & above 31 %	24 %	4 %	28%
8. Oakland County	Less \$ 10,000 11% \$10-19,000 40 % \$20-29,000 12% \$30-39,000 27 % \$40 K & above 11 %	20 %	20 %	60 %
9. Ontonagon County	Less \$ 10,000 33% \$10-19,000 42 % \$20-29,000 17% \$30-39,000 8 % \$40 K & above 0 %	42 %	6 %	32 %

Participant Data: Part B
(by race/ethnicity)

Grantee Name	<u>RACIAL / ETHNIC BREAKOUT:</u>					
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Pacific Islander
1. Ogemaw County Courthouse, Arenace County Courthouse & Roscommon County Courthouse	100 %					
2. Antrim, Grand Traverse, & Leelanau Counties	97 %	3 %				
3. Houghton, Baraga, & Keweenaw Counties	100 %					
4. Crawford County Courthouse	100 %					

5. Jackson County	82 %	4 %	4 %	6 %	4 %	
6. Ontonagon County	86 %		6 %		8 %	
7. Kalamazoo County	92 %		5 %		3 %	
8. Lapeer County	100 %					
9. Oakland County	84 %	9 %	2 %	2%	.2 %	

Don't Know 2.6 (Oakland)_____

Other, _____

Sources of Client Referral

GRANTEE							
Agency	Self-Referral	TANF	Child Welfare	Child Support	Social Services	Courts	Private
1. Ogemaw County Courthouse, Arenace County Courthouse & Roscommon County Courthouse	X	X		X		X	
2. Antrim, Grand Traverse, and Leelanau Counties						X	
3. Houghton, Baraga, & Keweenaw Counties	X	X				X	

4. Kalamazoo County	X	X				X	
5. Lapeer County	X					X	X
6. Crawford County Courthouse		X					X
7. Oakland County		X				X	
8. Jackson County	X	X				X	X
9. Ontonagon County	X					X	